UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/684,917	10/14/2003	Daniel John Smith	1171/39464B/99B-CIP	3561	
279 TREVIER RI	7590 05/21/2010 JSHNELL, GIANGIORGI		EXAMINER		
BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.			PATEL, NIHIR B		
105 WEST AD SUITE 3600	105 WEST ADAMS STREET SUITE 3600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60603	3772			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		05/21/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptodocket@trexlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-		
	10/684,917	SMITH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·		
	NIHIR PATEL	3772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC c, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed The reply			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u>	ebruary 2009.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 12</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-11</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		n received in this Nationa	l Stage		
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		211323899 Translated Doc.			

Application/Control Number: 10/684,917 Page 2

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on February 6th, 2009, with respect to claims 1-3 and 12 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous rejection(s) of the office action dated October 20th, 2008 has been withdrawn.

Response to Amendment

2. The examiner acknowledges the amendment filed on February 6th, 2009. The amendment comprises amending claims 1, 4 and 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,917 Page 3

Art Unit: 3772

5. Claims 1-3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. (US 6,536,428) in view of Nakamura (JP 11323899 A).

- 6. As to claims 1, 2 and 12, Smith substantially discloses a conduit 4 for breathing circuit including heater 12 (see figure 2; col. 3 lines 60-67 and col. 4 lines 1-28) located within the conduit the heater comprising an elongate heating element covered with an inner electrical insulating layer (see col. 3 lines 25-35) but does not disclose an outer hydrophilic layer.

 Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Smith's invention by providing an outer hydrophilic layer as taught by Nakamura in order to collect any water that collects in the conduit and to insulate the heater from the rest of the system.
- 7. As to claim 3, Smith substantially discloses an apparatus wherein the heating means 12 lies freely in the conduit to settle over at least some of its length at low points in the conduit where condensed water vapor may collect (see figure 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest the conduit being an expiratory conduit and the heating means being located in an expiratory flow path of the conduit and at least a length of the conduit has a conduit wall wherein at least a region of the conduit wall is of a breathable material.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,917

Art Unit: 3772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIHIR PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nihir Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772

PATRICIA BIANCO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY GENTER 3700 Page 4